### 1. Importance of civil discourse

How important is it to you that political discourse is civil and respectful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18-29</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65+</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very important</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat important</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very important</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not at all important</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(996)</td>
<td>(761)</td>
<td>(435)</td>
<td>(180)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                  |                  | Male   | Female          | 30-44           | 45-64            | 65+           |
|                  |                  | 48%    | 56%             | 40%             | 55%             | 72%           |
|                  |                  | 30%    | 25%             | 22%             | 32%             | 31%           |
|                  |                  | 6%     | 4%              | 6%              | 10%             | 3%            |
|                  |                  | 4%     | 2%              | 6%              | 2%              | 2%            |
|                  |                  | 1%     |                 | 0%              |                 |               |
| Party ID         |                  | 101%   | 100%            | 100%            | 99%             | 99%           |
|                  |                  | (435)  | (561)           | (256)           | (351)           | (209)         |
| Totals           | 100%              | 100%   | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            | 100%          |
| Unweighted N     | (996)             | (336)  | (427)           | (233)           | (277)           | (144)         |

|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 15%             | 9%              |
|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 24%    | 15%             | 9%              | 3%              |
| Totals           | 100%              | 100%   | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            | 100%          |
| Unweighted N     | (996)             | (336)  | (427)           | (233)           | (277)           | (144)         |

|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 24%    | 15%             | 9%              | 3%              |
| Totals           | 100%              | 100%   | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            | 100%          |
| Unweighted N     | (996)             | (336)  | (427)           | (233)           | (277)           | (144)         |

|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 24%    | 15%             | 9%              | 3%              |
| Totals           | 100%              | 100%   | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            | 100%          |
| Unweighted N     | (996)             | (336)  | (427)           | (233)           | (277)           | (144)         |

|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 13%    | 13%             | 13%             | 3%              |
|                  |                  | 24%    | 15%             | 9%              | 3%              |
| Totals           | 100%              | 100%   | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            | 100%          |
| Unweighted N     | (996)             | (336)  | (427)           | (233)           | (277)           | (144)         |
2. Should approach campaign
Which better describes your opinion about how the Democratic presidential candidates in 2020 should approach the campaign against Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(990)</td>
<td>(756)</td>
<td>(433)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3A. Approach being used — Cory Booker
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 3B. Approach being used — Pete Buttigieg

Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(973)</td>
<td>(747)</td>
<td>(430)</td>
<td>(543)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(973)</td>
<td>(330)</td>
<td>(413)</td>
<td>(230)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3C. Approach being used — Julian Castro

Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>18-29</th>
<th>30-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65+</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered voters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(978)</td>
<td>(749)</td>
<td>(432)</td>
<td>(546)</td>
<td>(176)</td>
<td>(254)</td>
<td>(347)</td>
<td>(201)</td>
<td>(698)</td>
<td>(106)</td>
<td>(102)</td>
<td>(72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| "Fight fire with fire"                        |       |     |      |        |       |       |       |     |       |       |          |       |
| Registered voters                             |       |     |      |        |       |       |       |     |       |       |          |       |
| Total                                         | 99%   | 100%| 100% | 100%   | 100%  | 100%  | 100%  | 100%| 100%  | 100%  | 100%     | 99%   |
| Unweighted N                                  | (978) | (334)| (413)| (231)  | (321) | (270) | (441) | (260)| (150) | (188) | (381)    | (259) |

### Party ID 2016 Vote Family Income (3 category) Census Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Dem</th>
<th>Ind</th>
<th>Rep</th>
<th>Clinton</th>
<th>Trump</th>
<th>&lt; $50K</th>
<th>$50-100K</th>
<th>$100K+</th>
<th>Northeast</th>
<th>Midwest</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered voters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(978)</td>
<td>(334)</td>
<td>(413)</td>
<td>(231)</td>
<td>(321)</td>
<td>(270)</td>
<td>(441)</td>
<td>(260)</td>
<td>(143)</td>
<td>(150)</td>
<td>(188)</td>
<td>(381)</td>
<td>(259)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3D. Approach being used — John Delaney
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(983)</td>
<td>(751)</td>
<td>(435)</td>
<td>(548)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                                | Party ID | 2016 Vote | Family Income (3 category) | Census Region |
|                                | Total    | Dem | Ind | Rep | Clinton | Trump | < $50K | $50-100K | $100K+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
|                                | Total    | Dem | Ind | Rep | Clinton | Trump | < $50K | $50-100K | $100K+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| "When they go low, we go high" | 13%      | 13% | 12% | 14%  | 11%     | 8%    | 13%    | 11%      | 11%     | 15%       | 9%      | 15%   | 10%   |
| "Fight fire with fire"         | 14%      | 14% | 11% | 18%  | 10%     | 19%   | 14%    | 14%      | 19%     | 9%        | 13%     | 15%   | 16%   |
| Not sure                       | 74%      | 73% | 77% | 68%  | 79%     | 73%   | 73%    | 75%      | 70%     | 75%       | 78%     | 71%   | 74%   |
| Totals                         | 101%     | 100%| 100%| 100% | 100%    | 100%  | 100%   | 100%     | 100%    | 99%       | 100%    | 101%  | 100%  |
| Unweighted N                   | (983)    | (333)| (419)| (231) | (320)   | (272)  | (443)  | (262)    | (142)   | (151)     | (188)   | (383) | (261) |
# 3E. Approach being used — Tulsi Gabbard
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(976)</td>
<td>(744)</td>
<td>(427)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(976)</td>
<td>(331)</td>
<td>(419)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3F. Approach being used — Kirsten Gillibrand
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes Male Female 18-29 30-44 45-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21% 24% 16% 20% 21% 18% 21% 19% 27% 20% 16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22% 20% 19% 15% 16% 25% 20% 20% 16% 22% 19%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>57% 56% 65% 65% 63% 58% 60% 61% 57% 58% 65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(982) (749) (431) (551) (180) (251) (347) (204) (701) (105) (104) (72)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Dem</th>
<th>Ind</th>
<th>Rep</th>
<th>2016 Vote Clinton</th>
<th>Trump</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category) &lt; $50K</th>
<th>$50-100K</th>
<th>$100K+</th>
<th>Census Region Northeast</th>
<th>Midwest</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(982) (335) (418) (229) (322) (270) (444) (258) (143) (153) (186) (381) (262)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3G. Approach being used — Kamala Harris

Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>(334)</td>
<td>(416)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3H. Approach being used — Amy Klobuchar
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(978)</td>
<td>(747)</td>
<td>(429)</td>
<td>(549)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
<td>Rep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(978)</td>
<td>(333)</td>
<td>(416)</td>
<td>(229)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Approach being used — Bernie Sanders
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male 18-29</td>
<td>White 65+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>25% 24%</td>
<td>23% 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>31% 30%</td>
<td>32% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44% 46%</td>
<td>45% 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100% 100%</td>
<td>100% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(979)</td>
<td>(748)</td>
<td>(433) (546)</td>
<td>(704) (103)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male 30-44</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>24% 24%</td>
<td>23% 31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>28% 34%</td>
<td>32% 28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>48% 42%</td>
<td>45% 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100% 100%</td>
<td>100% 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(433)</td>
<td>(251) (349)</td>
<td>(103) (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Approach                        | Gender | Age (4 category) | Race (4 category) |
|                                 | Total  | Male 45-64 65+   | White             |
| "When they go low, we go high" | 24%    | 24% 22%        | 27% 20%          |
| "Fight fire with fire"          | 31%    | 28% 37%        | 23% 23%          |
| Not sure                        | 45%    | 48% 41%        | 42% 57%          |
| Totals                          | 100%   | 100% 100% 100%  | 100% 100%        |
| Unweighted N                    | (443)  | (258) (144)     | (152) (378)      |

| Approach                        | Gender | Age (4 category) | Race (4 category) |
|                                 | Total  | Male 65+        | White             |
| "When they go low, we go high" | 24%    | 17% 16%        | 31% 23%          |
| "Fight fire with fire"          | 31%    | 36% 36%        | 31% 32%          |
| Not sure                        | 45%    | 48% 40%        | 39% 45%          |
| Totals                          | 100%   | 100% 100% 100%  | 101% 101%        |
| Unweighted N                    | (321)  | (271) (144)     | (152) (261)      |
### 3J. Approach being used — Elizabeth Warren
Which approach do you think the politicians listed below are using when talking about Donald Trump?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered voters</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age (4 category)</th>
<th>Race (4 category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>101%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party ID</th>
<th>2016 Vote</th>
<th>Family Income (3 category)</th>
<th>Census Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Dem</td>
<td>Ind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;When they go low, we go high&quot;</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Fight fire with fire&quot;</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not sure</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unweighted N</td>
<td>(982)</td>
<td>(334)</td>
<td>(417)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interviewing Dates</strong></td>
<td>February 11 - 12, 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target population</strong></td>
<td>U.S. citizens, aged 18 and over.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling method</strong></td>
<td>Respondents were selected from YouGov's opt-in Internet panel using sample matching. A random sample (stratified by gender, age, race, education, geographic region, and voter registration) was selected from the 2016 American Community Study. Voter registration was imputed from the November 2016 Current Population Survey Registration and Voting Supplement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighting</strong></td>
<td>The sample was weighted based on gender, age, race, education, and 2016 Presidential vote. The weights range from 0.413 to 3.361, with a mean of one and a standard deviation of 0.39.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of respondents</strong></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Margin of error</strong></td>
<td>± 3.3% (adjusted for weighting)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survey mode</strong></td>
<td>Web-based interviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Questions not reported</strong></td>
<td>50 questions not reported.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>